Freeville School – Rationale for change

This document has been prepared to assist discussions with parents and communities about proposals for education renewal for greater Christchurch.

Why is change needed?

A strong education network is vital for the renewal of greater Christchurch.

The extent of damage and ongoing impact of people movement in the wake of the 2010 and 2011 earthquakes mean it cannot be restored to the way it was.

We need to accept in areas that have been depopulated we will have to do things differently, which will inevitably mean some changes to services. The viability of existing individual schools and increased demand for new schools are a key consideration going forward.

The earthquakes, while devastating, have provided an opportunity beyond simply replacing what was there, to restore, consolidate and rejuvenate to provide new and improved facilities that will reshape education, improve the options and outcomes for learners, and support greater diversity and choice.

Education renewal for greater Christchurch is about meeting the needs and aspirations of children and young people. We want to ensure the approach addresses inequities and improves outcomes while prioritising action that will have a positive impact on learners in greatest need of assistance.

With the cost of renewal considerable, the ideal will be tempered by a sense of what is pragmatic and realistic. Key considerations are the practicalities of existing sites and buildings, the shifts in population distribution and concentration, the development of new communities and a changing urban infrastructure.

Innovative, cost effective, and sustainable options for organising and funding educational opportunities must be explored to provide for diversity and choice in an economically viable way.

Discussions with schools, communities and providers within learning community clusters have and will continue to be key to informing decisions around the overall future shape of each education community. Ways to enhance infrastructure and address existing property issues, improve education outcomes, and consider future governance will form part of these discussions which are running in parallel to consultation around formal proposals.

“We have a chance to set up something really good here so we need to do our best to get it right” - submission to Directions for Education Renewal across greater Christchurch.
**Why is it proposed my school merge?**

People movement and land and or building damage as a result of the earthquakes are the catalysts for change across the network across greater Christchurch.

Many school buildings suffered significant damage, school sites have been compromised and there were 4,311 fewer student enrolments across greater Christchurch at July 2012 compared to July 2010\(^1\).

Even before the earthquake there were around 5,000 spaces already under-utilised in the network.

The Brighton cluster comprises four year 1-8 state primary schools, some of which suffered significant earthquake damage. These schools are situated in an 8 km stretch of land which is separated from the rest of Christchurch by an area of red zone and an estuary. This is likely to constrain future population growth.

While Freeville School’s roll has been stable over the past two years, the rolls of the other three schools in the cluster collectively fell by over 160 students. This included a fall of almost 40 students for North New Brighton. Both North New Brighton and Central New Brighton are less than two-thirds full.

The older age of school buildings in Brighton mean they need significant earthquake strengthening. It is not considered cost effective to repair existing buildings; the cost of earthquake repairs alone would exceed the cost of building a new full primary school.

Instead, we propose merging the four schools in the cluster onto two sites to allow significant investment in modern learning environments for students in Brighton.

Merging Freeville School with North New Brighton School on the North New Brighton site would support enhanced provision. We are proposing the North New Brighton site as it is over twice the size of the Freeville School site. Given the schools are approximately 1 km apart learners would continue to be accommodated within their community.

**Land**

Surrounding land is a combination of CERA technical category 3 (TC3) and CERA Red Zone.

There has been moderate to severe structural damage to buildings, though no lateral spreading and only minor to moderate liquefaction was experienced on site during the earthquake sequence.

The low level of liquefaction on site is probably due to the elevated aspect of the school grounds relative to the surrounding streets which did suffer severe liquefaction and ground damage.

Part of Freeville School is adjacent to the red zone where damaged houses are not able to be rebuilt.

Preliminary assessments suggest geotechnical considerations are likely to be a factor when undertaking development at this site. Significant foundation engineering is also likely to be required.  

\(^1\) This figure includes international fee-paying students.
Buildings

The buildings on the Freeville School site have suffered significant earthquake damage. This covers a wide spectrum from minor cracking to ceiling and wall finishes to demolition of school blocks. One block has been demolished and another cordoned off.

Some buildings will require earthquake strengthening. Detailed Engineering Evaluations (DEE’s) have yet to commence, but are scheduled for completion for end 2013; these reports will confirm the exact scale of this work.

Buildings on site have also been flagged for weather tightness remediation.

Indicative Ten Year Property Costs*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative Ten Year Property Costs for Freeville Primary School</th>
<th>$5.7 million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Note: This figure may vary from amounts previously presented and may be subject to change when more detailed assessments are completed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above costs are predominately split between structural strengthening, earthquake damage and weather tightness remediation.

*These preliminary cost estimates are based upon information, data and research carried out by external parties. They are dependent on the information and assumptions included. While these results may vary as further information and/or assumptions are modified, these preliminary estimates will continue to provide the initial basis for the cost of these projects.

Cost estimate information

For condition assessment – a physical site inspection was undertaken of every building to evaluate the anticipated maintenance requirements at each school for the next 10 years.

For assessing earthquake damage – the recording and quantifying of earthquake damage and indicative repair costs from all events was undertaken. These reports were reviewed by professional loss adjustors and are being used to support the Ministry’s insurance claim.

For assessing structural strengthening – Information gathered via a national desktop study and during site visits by project managers and engineers has informed indicative assessments around strengthening which have been, or are being confirmed through the Detailed Engineering Evaluation (DEE) process. All follow up site specific invasive investigations are being carried out by qualified engineers who interpret the findings and recommend further testing as appropriate.

For assessing weather tightness – cost estimates were developed as part of a national survey of all school buildings. Further detailed assessments were carried out on buildings identified through this exercise.

People

The aggregated July 2012 rolls of Freeville School and North New Brighton School have decreased by 40 since July 2010.

While Freeville’s roll has remained stable, the number of year 1-8 students residing in the northern part of the Brighton cluster catchment has decreased by around 130 between March 2010 and March 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Authority</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Brighton Catholic School (Chch)</td>
<td>Full Primary (Year 1-8)</td>
<td>State: Integrated</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central New Brighton School</td>
<td>Full Primary (Year 1-8)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeville School</td>
<td>Full Primary (Year 1-8)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North New Brighton School</td>
<td>Full Primary (Year 1-8)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South New Brighton School</td>
<td>Full Primary (Year 1-8)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>1214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Montessori School</td>
<td>Full Primary (Year 1-8)</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Student Distribution patterns**

Analysis of July 2012 student address data shows that around 88% of year 1-8 students living in the Brighton cluster catchment attended a state school, 11% were enrolled at state integrated schools and the remaining 1% at private schools.

Schools with the highest number of year 1-8 students living in the Brighton cluster catchment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Authority</th>
<th># students</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South New Brighton School</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North New Brighton School</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeville School</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisnallwood Intermediate</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brighton Catholic School (Chch)</td>
<td>State Integrated</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central New Brighton School</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkview School</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burwood School</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windsor School (Christchurch)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillview Christian School</td>
<td>State Integrated</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enrolments at the four local state schools equated to 65% of all year 1-8 students living in the Brighton cluster catchment.

---

2 July School Rolls are total July rolls, excluding international fee paying students.
3 Analysis includes all crown ‘funded’ students only, i.e. regular, regular adult, returning adult & extramural. It reflects the student’s home address – which bears no relationship to the school they were enrolled at. Not all student records were address matched.
4 Number of all year 1-8 students in the cluster that attend a given school.
5 Percentage of all year 1-8 students in the cluster that attend a given school.
Of these students, approximately one third were enrolled at South New Brighton School, 15% at North New Brighton School, with a further 15% enrolled at Freeville School.

At the Brighton cluster level, of the 1,246 year 1-8 students residing in the Brighton cluster, 1,043 (84%) reside within 1 km of a state primary school. This reduces to 67% (842 students) based on the proposed mergers in the cluster.

Based on July 2012 student address data analysis, the proposed merger onto the North New Brighton site would mean around 89% of year 1-8 students living in the northern end of the Brighton catchment would live within 1 km of a state primary school.

**Population change**

Roll return data shows the number of year 1-8 students living in the Brighton cluster catchment reduced from 1,530 to 1,232 between March 2010 and March 2012.

*Percentage of March 2010 and March 2012 student address records in red zones within the cluster*

Approximately 18% (281 students) of March 2010 student address records were within the area now classed as CERA Red Zones within the Brighton cluster. March 2012 student distribution data shows that the number of students living in the red zones has reduced to 8% (98 students) of year 1-8 students.

This shows that while the majority of students have left their red zone residences, a significant number of families remain in these areas at this stage.

In the northern part of the Brighton cluster (from a line north of the southern end of Rawhiti Domain) there are around 130 fewer year 1-8 students in March 2012 compared to March 2010.

The Ministry will continue to work with agencies such as Christchurch City Council and CERA on projected population change.

**What would proposed merger mean for the school and its community?**

Approximately 43% of Freeville School students reside within a 1 km radius of Freeville School.

This compares to 51% of Freeville School students who reside within a 1 km radius of North New Brighton School.

Only one Freeville student would live outside of a 1 km radius from a state primary school if Freeville and North New Brighton School were to merge on the North New Brighton School site.

Based on July 2012 student address data analysis, the proposed merger onto the North New Brighton site would mean around 89% of year 1-8 students living in the northern end of the Brighton catchment would live within 1 km of a state primary school.

The larger site at North New Brighton School (proposed continuing site) will allow for future growth.

---

6 March data has been used for the comparison across the period 2010 to 2012, as no relevant historical July student address data exists.

7 CERA Red Zone data at 24 August 2012
Merging Freeville School would enable funding to be invested in North New Brighton School where the majority of learners would most likely go, and into the network generally to provide modern learning environments for a larger number of students.

Safe and inspiring learning environments are key to meeting the New Zealand Property vision for greater Christchurch schools, which means:

- Ensuring any health and safety and infrastructural issues are addressed
- Taking into account whole of life cost considerations, to allow cost over the life of the asset, rather than initial capital cost to drive repair or replacement decisions
- Enabling all entitlement teaching spaces to be upgraded to meet the ‘Sheerin’ Core modern learning environment standard – which has a strong focus on heating, lighting, acoustics, ventilation and ICT infrastructure upgrades.

This will include provision of appropriate shared facilities across schools within a cluster that can be used by both schools and the community and other agencies as appropriate.

An effective merger brings together the strengths of both schools. The particular programmes which are run in the merged school are decisions made by the board of the continuing school, however, it is likely the successful programmes, culture etc which have been developed within either school would be continued in the merged school.

The Ministry would expect a merged school would want to work with all learners in its community.

If a merger is to proceed the move would not be piecemeal.

The board of the continuing school would discuss an implementation plan for the merger with the Ministry. This would then be implemented.

If a final decision to merge is made by the Minister, and gazetted, the board of the continuing school or a new board as appropriate, would oversee the process. This will include decisions around school name, uniform, branding etc.

There must be at least one full term between the gazetting and when the merger is implemented. In some cases, the Minister agrees to appoint a board for the continuing school. The appointed board can co-opt members as required.

Elections for a new board of trustees must be held within three months of the date of merger. At this time, the newly elected board will be representative of all families at the merged school.

The Ministry will ensure appropriate provision for learners within this cluster to support any changes that may result from consultation.

The Ministry will provide information around enrolment options to families and provide required support.

Staff, including support staff, will be able to apply for positions in the merged school. Alternatively redundancy may apply in respect to reduced or full loss of hours.

The provisions of the respective employment agreements will apply for staff.

If a decision to merge is made the vacated school property site will go into a disposal process.
How would the proposed merger of my school fit into the overall plan for my learning community cluster?

Renewal focuses on the cluster of provision within an education community and the collective impact of people movement and land and building damage across the entire provision within the cluster.

The future of your learners should continue to feature in the wider cluster discussion.

In the first instance this is because the cluster may have thoughts it wishes to contribute during consultation around alternative options that will meet the overarching needs of this cluster to not only revitalise infrastructure but also enhance educational outcomes across this education community.

The cluster will also need to consider how learners might be accommodated in the future should a decision be made to merge Freeville and North New Brighton schools. The cluster would want to consider how enhanced provision that might be required to support moving student populations might look.

How would the proposed merger of my school fit into the overall plan for the network as a whole?

The proposed merger of Freeville School with North New Brighton School on the North New Brighton School site is one of two proposed changes for the Brighton cluster. The other proposed change is:

- The merger of Central New Brighton School and South New Brighton School on the South New Brighton School site.

These proposed changes are intended to provide a spatially sensible and sustainable primary school network to accommodate the impact of the red zones in the Brighton cluster.
Facts and Figures

**School Rolls** are confirmed total 1 July rolls, excluding international fee paying students.

**Student Distribution data** is drawn primarily from the address matched July 2012 School roll return dataset (excluding international fee paying students). Where March 2010 and March 2012 student address data has been used, the use of these datasets is indicated.

Individual student records have been cleaned of all sensitive data and address matched (geocoded) to street addresses. Not all student records were address matched, as some records were not able to be geocoded, and student records identified with a privacy risk indicator have been excluded from the data. Across all schools in greater Christchurch, approximately 95% of records were address matched.

Where a school has an enrolment scheme, this is legally defined in a written description and is available from the relevant school. School enrolment scheme “home zones” or “school zones” are legally defined in the written description, and the display of any enrolment zone in a map is only a visual representation of the written description. School enrolment schemes, enrolment zones, and associated maps are reviewed periodically.

**Land and infrastructure information** has been drawn from a variety of sources as outlined above.

**Utilisation:** The amount of student space being used (peak roll) as a percentage of the total student spaces available. Total student space has been based on the number of classrooms as at February 2012.

Peak rolls used: Primary – the October 2011 roll

Secondary and Intermediate – the March 2012 roll return

Relevant reports and documentation will be provided.

**Contact us**

Email us shapingeducation@minedu.govt.nz